

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/688,335	BOOE, JAMES M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard Edgar	3745	

All Participants:

(1) Richard Edgar.

(2) Dilip A. Kulkarni.
Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2005
Time: 11:30 AM, EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:


16-18

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claim 17 depended from itself. The dependency of claim 17 and 18 has been changed according to the attached Examiner's Amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)